

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,178	11/26/2003	Hyo-Hak Nam	8071-50 (OPP 030570 US) 5722		
22150	7590 06/28/2006		EXAM	INER	
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC			NGUYEN, THANH NHAN P		
130 WOODBURY ROAD WOODBURY, NY 11797			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/28/2000	DATE MAIL ED: 06/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

R

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/723,178	NAM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja	nuary 2006				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	,				
Disposition of Claims					
4) □ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-40 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				
Patent and Trademark Office					

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is responsive to election/restriction dated 1/30/2006. Upon the examination, the restriction/election requirement dated 5/4/2005 and 12/29/2005 have been withdrawn. Accordingly, claims 1-40 are pending for the examination. However, new restriction/election has been made.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-40 contain embodiments directed to following patentably distinct species of the claims invention:

- I. One embodiment drawn to a liquid crystal display device comprising a polarizer disposed on a first surface of a first panel; a black matrix disposed between color filters on a second panel and overlapped a sealant; a light blocking member (incorporated into one of a optical sheets of back light unit) for blocking light incident on the peripheral, [fig. 6; claims 1-16].
- II. Another embodiment drawn to a liquid crystal display device comprising a first panel including a conductive member including a light transmitting portion, which includes at least one transparent area and at least one opaque area, wherein the at least transparent area is an opening type; a black matrix disposed on second panel and overlapped the sealant, wherein the light transmitting portion disposed at the overlapping, [fig. 16; with at least claim 19].
- III. Another embodiment drawn to a liquid crystal display device comprising a first panel including a conductive member including a light transmitting portion, which includes at least one transparent area and at least one opaque area,

wherein the at least transparent area comprises a transparent conductive material; a black matrix disposed on second panel and overlapped the sealant, wherein the light transmitting portion disposed at the overlapping, [fig. 8A; with at least claim 21].

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that none claim is generic.

Further, species II and III contain embodiments directed to following patentably distinct sub-species of the claims invention:

- A. One embodiment drawn to a method for hardening the sealant to combine the first substrate and second substrate comprising: disposing a reflector located opposite the second substrate with respect to the first substrate; and directing light from the second substrate to the sealant to be hardened, [fig. 14A, with at least claim 32].
- B. Another embodiment drawn to a method for hardening the sealant to combine the first substrate and second substrate comprising: directing light from the first and the second substrates to the sealant to be hardened, [fig. 14B, with at least claim 34].
- C. Another embodiment drawn to a method for hardening the sealant to combine the first substrate and second substrate comprising: directing light from the first substrate to the sealant to be hardened, [fig. 14C, with at least claim 35].

If species II or III is elected, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed sub-species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be

restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species and/or sub-species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent from or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of recorded showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/723,178

Art Unit: 2871

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1673. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2871

David Nelms
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Page 45